

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6  
7

8  
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10  
11

12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. CR 12-00119 SI

13 Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING MOTION TO  
EXCLUDE GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 69**

14 v.

15 ARMANDO ACOSTA,

16 Defendant.  
17 \_\_\_\_\_ /  
18

19 Now before the Court is defendant Armando Acosta's motion to exclude government exhibit 69,  
20 a rap video featuring defendant. Dkt. No. 1147. The Court admitted the video at trial, over defendant's  
21 objection. Defendant then filed the instant motion to strike the exhibit, arguing that it is irrelevant and  
22 unfairly prejudicial. The Court finds that the video, which features defendant, is relevant as probative  
23 of defendant's ongoing association with the charged enterprise, and that its probative value is not  
24 substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice. Accordingly, defendant's motion is  
25 DENIED. This Order resolves Docket No. 1147.

26  
27 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

28 Dated: September 17, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SUSAN ILLSTON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE